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## 1. Introduction

Let $C(X)$ denote the space of real continuous functions defined on a compact Hausdorff space $X$ endowed with the uniform norm

$$
\|f\|=\sup \{|f(x)|: x \in X\}
$$

For any function $f$ defined on $X$, denote

$$
Z_{f}=\{x \in X: f(x)=0\}
$$

and

$$
M_{f}=\{x \in X:|f(x)|=\|f\|\}
$$

Let $l, u$ be given functions from $X$ into the extended real line $[-\infty, \infty$, with $l<u$. Additionally, let $G$ be a proper subset of $C(X)$.

Definition 1. $g \in G$ is said to be a best approximation to $f \in C(X)$ in $G$ if

$$
\|f-g\| \leqslant\|f-h\|
$$

for all $h \in G$.
Denote

$$
G^{*}=\{g \in G: l \leqslant g \leqslant u\} .
$$

Definition 2. A best approximation $g \in G^{*}$ to $f$ in $G^{*}$ is said to be a best restricted approximation.

Best restricted approximations have been investigated recently in wide variety of papers (see [6] for references).

Definition 3. A subset $G$ of $C(X)$ has the weak betweenness property if for any distinct elements $g$ and $h$ of $G$ and for every nonempty closed
subset $D$ of $X$ such that $\min \{|h(x)-g(x)|: x \in D\}>0$ there exists a sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ in $G$ such that
(i) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|=0$ and
(ii) $\min \left\{\left[h(x)-g_{i}(x)\right]\left[g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right]: x \in D\right\}>0$ for all $i$.

As we noted in [4], subsets having the weak betweenness property include, e.g., subsets with the betweenness property, asymptotically convex and having a degree. In this paper we shall give a characterization theorem of Kolmogorov type for the best restricted approximation by the elements of a subset $G$ having the weak betweenness property. Additionally, we shall obtain some converse theorem, i.e., if the necessity of the characterization theorem is true for all $f \in C(X)$ then some subset of $G$ has the weak betweenness property. These two results will be obtained under additional assumptions that $l$ is an upper semicontinuous function and $u$ is a lower semicontinuous function into the extended real line. We note that our assumptions about the restrictions $l$ and $u$ are different from Dunham's assumptions in [1]. However, if the functions $l$ and $u$ satisfy five restrictions (i)-(v) in [5, p. 242] then, of course, $l$ and $u$ are upper and lower semicontinuous functions, respectively.

## 2. Main Results

At first, we give a sufficient condition for $g \in G^{*}$ to be a best restricted approximation for $f$ in $G^{*}$. This does not require any assumptions about the structure of the set $G$ and the properties of the restrictions $l$ and $u$.

Theorem 1. A sufficient condition for $g \in G^{*}$ to be the best restricted approximation to $f \in C(X)$ in $G^{*}$ is that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{[g(x)-h(x)] \operatorname{sign}[f(x)-g(x)]: x \in M_{f-g}\right\} \geqslant 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be satisfied for every $h \in G^{*}$.
Proof. From the continuity of functions $f, g, h$ on $X$ and ( $g-h$ ) $\operatorname{sign}(f-g)$ on $M_{f-g}$, and the compactness of $X$ and $M_{f-g}$ we have, by (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f-g\| & \leqslant\|f-g\|+\max \left\{[g(x)-h(x)] \operatorname{sign}[f(x)-g(x)]: x \in M_{f-g}\right\} \\
& =[f(z)-g(z)] \operatorname{sign}[f(z)-g(z)]+[g(z)-h(z)] \operatorname{sign}[f(z)-g(z)] \\
& =[f(z)-h(z)] \operatorname{sign}[f(z)-g(z)] \leqslant\|f-h\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $h \in G^{*}$, where $z \in M_{f-g} \cap M_{(g-h) \text { sign }(f-g)}$ and the domain of the function $(g-h) \operatorname{sign}(f-g)$ is restricted to $M_{f-g}$. This completes the proof.

Let us define now the subset $G_{g}$ of $G$ by
$G_{g}=\left\{h \in G: h(x)>g(x)\right.$ and $h(y)<g(y)$ for each $x \in Z_{l-g}$ and $\left.y \in Z_{u-g}\right\}$,
where $g$ is a fixed element of $G^{*}$. In the following we shall use the well-known properties of lower and upper semicontinuous functions in the extended real line $[-\infty, \infty]$ (see, for example, [2, pp. 73-77]). If $G$ has the weak betweenness property then the following theorem is true:

Theorem 2. Let land $u$ be, respectively, upper and lower semicontinuous functions into the extended real line. Then a necessary condition for $g \in G^{*}$ to be the best restricted approximation to $f \in C(X)$ in $G^{*}$ is that inequality (1) be satisfied for all $h \in G_{g}$.

Proof. Let us suppose, on the contrary, that there exists an element $h \in G_{g}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{[g(x)-h(x)] \operatorname{sign}[f(x)-g(x)]: x \in M_{f-g}\right\}<0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $l \leqslant g \leqslant u$, then

$$
Z_{l-g}=\{x \in X: l(x)-g(x) \geqslant 0\}
$$

and

$$
Z_{u-g}=\{x \in X: u(x)-g(x) \leqslant 0\}
$$

This and the upper semicontinuity of $l$ and the lower semicontinuity of $u$ imply that the sets $Z_{l-g}$ and $Z_{u-g}$ are closed.

Thus, from $h \in G_{g}$ it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{h(x)-g(x): x \in Z_{l-g}\right\}>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{g(x)-h(x): x \in Z_{u-g}\right\}>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, from (2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \left\{|g(x)-h(x)|: x \in M_{f-g}\right\} \\
& \quad \geqslant \min \left\{[g(x)-h(x)] \operatorname{sign}[f(x)-g(x)]: x \in M_{f-g}\right\}>0 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define the closed set $D$ by

$$
D=M_{f-g} \cup Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-g}
$$

From (3)-(5) we have

$$
\min \{|g(x)-h(x)|: x \in D\}>0
$$

Since $G$ has the weak betweenness property, there exists the sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ in $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\left[h(x)-g_{i}(x)\right]\left[g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right]: x \in D\right\}>0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Referring to (6), let $n$ be an integer such that $\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|<\|f-g\|$ for all $i>n$.

Hence, from (2), (5), and (7) we obtain

$$
\min \left\{\left|g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right|: x \in M_{f-g}\right\}>0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sign}[f(x)-g(x)]=\operatorname{sign}\left[f(x)-g_{i}(x)\right]=\operatorname{sign}\left[g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right]
$$

for every $i>n$ and $x \in M_{f-g}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-g_{i}(x)\right|=|f(x)-g(x)|-\left|g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right|<\|f-g\| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for these $i$ and $x$.
If $M_{f-g}=X$ then the proof is completed. Otherwise, the continuity arguments imply that there exists an open set $N \supset M_{f-g}$ such that (8) holds for all $i>n$ and $x \in N$. Since the set $V=X \backslash N$ is compact and $M_{f-g} \cap V \neq \varnothing$ then

$$
\delta_{1}=\max \{|f(x)-g(x)|: x \in V\}<\|f-g\| .
$$

Let $n_{1} \geqslant n$ be so selected that the inequality

$$
\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|<\|f-g\|-\delta_{1}
$$

hold for all $i>n_{1}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-g_{i}(x)\right| & \leqslant|f(x)-g(x)|+\left|g(x)-g_{i}(x)\right| \\
& <\delta_{1}+\|f-g\|-\delta_{1}=\|f-g\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i>n_{1}$ and $x \in V$. From this inequality and from that for $x \in N$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g_{i}\right\|<\|f-g\| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i>n_{1}$.
Now, for the completion of the proof, it is sufficient to show that there exists at least one index $i>n_{1}$ such that $g_{i} \in G^{*}$. To this purpose define

$$
\delta_{2}=\min \{u(x)-l(x): x \in X\} .
$$

From the compactness of $X$, the inequality $l<u$, and the lower semicontinuity of $(u-l)$ it follows that $\delta_{2}>0$. Referring to (6), we select an integer $n_{2} \geqslant n_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|<\delta_{2}
$$

for all $i>n_{2}$. Because $h \in G_{g}$, using (7) we have for each $x \in Z_{l-g}$ and $y \in Z_{u-g}$

$$
g_{i}(x)-l(x)=g_{i}(x)-g(x)>0
$$

and

$$
u(y)-g_{i}(y)>0
$$

Additionally, for these $x, y$ and $i>n_{2}$ we obtain

$$
u(x)-g_{i}(x)=u(x)-g(x)-\left[g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right] \geqslant \delta_{2}-\left\|g_{i}-g\right\|>0
$$

and

$$
g_{i}(y)-l(y) \geqslant \delta_{2}-\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|>0 .
$$

Therefore, we have established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(x)<g_{i}(x)<u(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-g}$ and $i>n_{2}$.
If $X=Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-g}$ then the proof is completed. Otherwise, from the upper semicontinuity of $l$ and lower semicontinuity of $u$ it follows that there exists an open set $N \supset Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-g}$ such that inequality (10) holds for each $x \in N$. Let us set $V=X \backslash N$. Because $V$ is a closed set and $V \cap\left(Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-q}\right)=\varnothing$ then by the lower semicontinuity of functions $u-g$ and $g-l$ we have

$$
\delta_{3}=\min \{u(x)-g(x): x \in V\}>0
$$

and

$$
\delta_{4}=\min \{g(x)-l(x): x \in V\}>0
$$

Let $n_{3} \geqslant n_{2}$ be so chosen that

$$
\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|<\min \left(\delta_{3}, \delta_{4}\right)
$$

for each $i>n_{3}$. Thus, for each $x \in V$ and $i>n_{3}$ we have

$$
u(x)-g_{i}(x)=u(x)-g(x)+\left[g(x)-g_{i}(x)\right]>\delta_{3}-\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|>0
$$

and

$$
g_{i}(x)-l(x)>\delta_{4}-\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|>0 .
$$

Combining these two inequalities with that for $x \in N$ we conclude from (9) that every function $g_{i}$ lies in $G^{*}$ for $i>n_{3}$ and is a better restricted approximation to $f$ than $g$. This completes the proof.

Note that, in general, the set $G_{g}$ does not contain the set $G^{*}$. Therefore, the sufficient condition for $g \in G^{*}$ to be a best restricted approximation in $G^{*}$ is not a necessary condition.

Unfortunately, the following simple example shows that the set $G_{g}$ in Theorem 2 can not be changed on the set $\bar{G}_{g}$ defined by
$\bar{G}_{g}=\left\{h \in G: h(x) \geqslant g(x)\right.$ and $h(y) \leqslant g(y)$ for each $x \in Z_{l-g}$ and $\left.y \in Z_{u-g}\right\}$.
Example 1. Let $X=[-1,1], G=\{\alpha x: \alpha \in R\}, l(x)=-\infty, u(x)=x^{2}$, and $f(x)=1-x$. Then $G^{*}$ contains only the zero function $g=0$, which is the best restricted approximation to $f$. Additionally, $G_{g}=\varnothing, \bar{G}_{g}=G$, and $M_{f-g}=\{-1\}$. It is obvious, that inequality (1) does not hold for $h(x)=x \in \bar{G}_{g}$.

However, Example 1 does not answer the interesting question: Whether the set $G_{g}$ may be changed on $G_{g} \cup\left(\bar{G}_{g} \cap G^{*}\right)=G_{g} \cup G^{*}$. At present, we do not know whether this is true or not. Therefore, the problem whether necessary and sufficient conditions exist for $g \in G^{*}$ to be the best restricted approximation in $G^{*}$ is left open. The answer to this question is yes, particularly when $G$ has the betweenness property. This follows easily from the fact that $G^{*}$ has also the betweenness property.

Definition 4. Let the two restriction functions $l<u$ be given. If $B$ and $V$ are closed subsets of $X$ such that $B \cap V=\varnothing$ then the following two restrictions $r$ and $s$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(x) & =-\infty, & & x \in X \backslash B \\
& =l(x), & & x \in B,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(x) & =\infty, & & x \in X \backslash V, \\
& =u(x), & & x \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

are called admissible restrictions.
Note that $r$ and $s$ are, respectively, upper and lower semicontinuous functions, if $l$ and $u$ are such ones, too. In the following theorem we shall additionally assume that $X$ is a space with the metric $|\cdot|$.

Theorem 3. Let restrictions $l$ and $u$ be as in Theorem 2. If Theorem 2 holds for each $f \in C(X)$ and all admissible restrictions to $l$ and $u$ then the set $p \cup G_{p}$ has the weak betweenness property for each $p \in G^{*}$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $g$ and $h$ are two distinct elements in $p \cup G_{p}$ and $D$ is a nonempty closed subset of $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\min \{|g(x)-h(x)|: x \in D\}>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{i}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers convergent to zero. To prove this theorem it sufficient to show that there exists a sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ in $p \cup G_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|<\lambda_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\left[h(x)-g_{i}(x)\right]\left[g_{i}(x)-g(x)\right]: x \in D\right\}>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $i$.
At first, suppose that $g=p \in G^{*}$ and $h \in G_{g}$. Define the function $f_{1} \in C(X)$ by

$$
f_{1}(x)=g(x)+\epsilon_{1} \frac{\rho\left(x, Z_{g-h}\right)}{\rho\left(x, Z_{g-h}\right)+\rho(x, B)} \operatorname{sign}[h(x)-g(x)]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\epsilon_{1}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left(\lambda_{1}, \delta_{1}\right) \\
& B=D \cup Z_{l-g} \cup Z_{u-g}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(x, E) & =1 & & \text { if } E=\varnothing \\
& =\inf \{|x-e|: e \in E\}, & & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{[g(x)-h(x)] \operatorname{sign}\left[f_{1}(x)-g(x)\right]: x \in B\right\} \\
& \quad=-\min \{|h(x)-g(x)|: x \in B\}=-\delta_{1}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $B=M_{f_{1}-g}$, from Theorem 2 it follows that $g$ is not the best restricted approximation to $f_{1}$ in $G$, i.e., there exists the function $g_{1} \in G^{*}$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{1}-g_{1}\right\|<\left\|f_{1}-g\right\|=\epsilon_{1} .
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\left\|g-g_{1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|f_{1}-g_{1}\right\|+\left\|f_{1}-g\right\|<\lambda_{1}
$$

which establishes (12) for $i=1$. Additionally, since $\left|f_{1}(x)-g_{1}(x)\right|<$ $\left|f_{1}(x)-g(x)\right|$ for all $x \in B=M_{f_{1}-g}$ then we have

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left[g_{1}(x)-g(x)\right]=\operatorname{sign}\left[f_{1}(x)-g(x)\right]=\operatorname{sign}[h(x)-g(x)]
$$

for all $x \in B$. Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \{ & \left.\left\{h(x)-g_{1}(x)\right]\left[g_{1}(x)-g(x)\right]: x \in B\right\} \\
= & \min \left\{\left|f_{1}(x)-g(x)-\left[f_{1}(x)-g_{1}(x)\right]\right|\left(|h(x)-g(x)|-\left|g_{1}(x)-g(x)\right|\right)\right. \\
& : x \in B\} \\
\geqslant & \min \left\{\left(\epsilon_{1}-\left|f_{1}(x)-g_{1}(x)\right|\right)\left(\delta_{1}-\left\|f_{1}-g_{1}\right\|-\left\|f_{1}-g\right\|\right): x \in B\right\} \\
\geqslant & \left(\epsilon_{1}-\left\|f_{1}-g_{1}\right\|\right)^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes (13) for $i=1$. Denote $\delta_{2}=\min \left\{\left|g_{1}(x)-g(x)\right|: x \in B\right\}>0$. Now, from this last inequality and from the fact that $h \in G_{g}$ we have for each $x \in Z_{l-g}$ and $y \in Z_{u-g}$ that

$$
g_{1}(x)-l(x)=g_{1}(x)-g(x)>0
$$

and

$$
u(y)-g_{1}(y)>0,
$$

i.e., $g_{1}$ lies in $G_{g}$. Finally, replacing $g_{i-2}\left(g_{0}=h\right)$ by $g_{i-1}, \lambda_{i-1}$ by $\lambda_{i}, \delta_{i-1}$ by $\delta_{i}$, and $\epsilon_{i-1}$ by $\epsilon_{i}$ we may analogously construct by induction the functions $f_{i}$, $i=2,3, \ldots$ such that $B=M_{f_{i}-g}$ and that $g$ are not the best restricted approximation to $f_{i}$. Additionally, denoting the better restricted approximation to $f_{i}$ by $g_{i}$ we may prove that (12) and (13) are satisfied and that $g_{i} \in G_{g}$. This completes the proof in case $g=p$.
Secondly, suppose that $g$ and $h$ lie in $G_{p}$ and (11) holds. Define two functions $r$ and $s$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(x) & =-\infty, & & x \in X \backslash Z_{l-p} \\
& =l(x), & & x \in Z_{l-p},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(x) & =\infty, & & x \in X \backslash Z_{u-p}, \\
& =u(x), & & x \in Z_{u-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $r$ and $s$ are admissible restrictions. Let us denote

$$
H^{*}=\{u \in G: r \leqslant u \leqslant s\}
$$

and
$H_{g}=\left\{u \in G: u(x)>r(x)\right.$ and $u(y)<s(y)$ for each $x \in Z_{r-g}$ and $\left.y \in Z_{s-g}\right\}$.
We immediately have $g \in H^{*}$ and $h \in H_{g}=G_{g}$. Therefore changing $G^{*}$ on $H^{*}$ and taking into consideration what has been said about the case $g=p$ we may prove the existence of the sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ in $H_{g}$ such that (12) and (13) hold. This completes the proof.

## 3. Concluding Remarks

Now, let us briefly consider the best restricted approximation by elements of the set

$$
G^{0}=\{h \in G: l<h<u\} .
$$

We may obtain, after trivial modifications of the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, the following results. If $G$ has the weak betweenness property, then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4. Let land u be, respectively, upper and lower semicontinuous functions into the extended real line. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $g \in G^{0}$ to be the best restricted approximation to $f \in C(X)$ in $G^{0}$ is that inequality (1) be satisfied for all $h \in G^{0}$.

Theorem 5. Let $X$ be a metric space and $l, u$ be as in the previous theorem. If Theorem 4 holds for each $f \in C(X)$ then $G^{0}$ has the weak betweenness property.

These two results also follow immediately from [3] and from the fact that the subset $G^{0}$ of $G$ has the weak betweenness property, if $G$ has this property, too. Finally, we note that it is possible to generalize our results to the case when $X$ is not compact and $C(X)$ is changed on the space $C_{b}(X)$ containing all real continuous and bounded functions defined on $X$. In this case the set $M_{f-g}$ must be changed in Theorem 2 into

$$
M_{f-g}(\epsilon)=\{x \in X:|f(x)-g(x)| \geqslant\|f-g\|-\epsilon\}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Additionally, we ought to change the maximum on the supremum in all previous statements and assume that $X$ in Theorem 3 is a normal space. These generalizations do not require new ideas in proofs in view of the considerations given in Section 2 and [4].
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